### **EXHIBIT M**

# REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2477-10 Filed 01/14/18 Page 2 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
 2
             NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
               Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA
5
6
      WAYMO LLC,
                                       )
                                        )
7
                 Plaintiff,
8
             v.
9
      UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
      OTTOMOTTO LLC;
10
      OTTO TRUCKING,
11
                Defendants.
12
13
       HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
                VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
14
15
                    NICHOLAS GICINTO
16
           DATE TAKEN: DECEMBER 21, 2017
17
18
19
20
21
     REPORTED BY:
22
      PAUL J. FREDERICKSON, CCR, CSR
23
      JOB NO. 2771353
24
25
      Pages 1 - 338
                                             Page 1
```

# Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2477-10 Filed 01/14/18 Page 3 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A. It appears to be a philosophical	13:18:30
2	approach to looking at the autonomous vehicle	13:18:33
3	competitive landscape and from a collection	13:18:37
4	standpoint.	13:18:41
5	Q. And do you see in the next	13:18:42
6	paragraph, it says:	13:18:43
7	"The primary collection	13:18:44
8	methodologies will be open-source and HUMINT	13:18:45
9	and will be conducted by assets, both internal	13:18:48
10	employees and external vendors"?	13:18:52
11	A. I see that.	13:18:54
12	Q. And did you have understanding	13:18:56
13	that Uber's primary collection methodologies in	13:18:59
14	terms of gaining competitive intelligence were	13:19:06
15	the things that are listed here?	13:19:07
16	A. No, I disagree with that. I mean,	13:19:12
17	this strategy or this this document here,	13:19:13
18	what was outlined, was never actually carried	13:19:17
19	out.	13:19:21
20	Q. You haven't read the whole	13:19:21
21	document yet, have you?	13:19:22
22	A. I haven't read the whole document,	13:19:23
23	but insofar as what you've pointed out to me,	13:19:25
24	these are not things that were that were	13:19:28
25	undertaken.	13:19:30
	Pag	re 145

# Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2477-10 Filed 01/14/18 Page 4 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	And one of the individuals the	14:37:28
2	first individual we interacted with as a	14:37:33
3	vendor, who we later called, traveled to	14:37:38
4	in response to the requirements that Ric	14:37:41
5	Jacobs drafted.	14:37:45
6	The information itself wasn't	14:37:45
7	wasn't particularly earth-shattering, but the	14:37:49
8	quality of the writing, the quality of the	14:37:54
9	assessment, was at such a higher level of of	14:37:57
10	what what the business was used to seeing,	14:38:01
11	that it was clear that we were working with a	14:38:04
12	vendor that had just a higher level of	14:38:07
13	expertise than what the business had been used	14:38:11
14	to working with.	14:38:13
15	Q. Other than what we've discussed	14:38:14
16	today with respect to the surveillance of	14:38:16
17	and Waymo vehicles, are there any other	14:38:20
18	activities you can recall that were engaged in	14:38:24
19	by these folks that you call that were	14:38:28
20	hired by your group with respect to collecting	14:38:32
21	information about competitors in the AV market?	14:38:39
22	A. I don't recall those individuals	14:39:07
23	engaging in any other collection related to AV	14:39:11
24	besides what we previously discussed.	14:39:14
25	MR. KAPGAN: Do you want to take a	14:39:16
	Pag	re 208

# Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2477-10 Filed 01/14/18 Page 5 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	chain category?	16:58:02
2	A. Hypothetically it would have been	16:58:05
3	for that. But, again, we didn't engage in	16:58:07
4	supply chain research, so none of the money	16:58:09
5	went to that. We did engage in some sourcing	16:58:11
6	activities, as I mentioned, related to a couple	16:58:14
7	of entities, neither of which were Waymo or	16:58:18
8	Google.	16:58:22
9	Q. Did the ATG group specify that	16:58:26
10	efforts should be taken with respect to	16:58:28
11	with	16:58:31
12	respect to the autonomous vehicle competitors?	16:58:33
13	A. They listed those as areas of	16:58:36
14	interest.	16:58:37
15	Q. All right.	16:58:40
16	Was any money out of the	16:58:50
17	budget spent on focusing on	16:58:52
18	Google with respect to the ?	16:58:57
19	A. No.	16:58:57
20	Q. Was any of the	16:59:00
21	budget focused on Google with respect to	16:59:02
22	?	16:59:06
23	A. No.	16:59:06
24	Q. Was any of the	16:59:08
25	budget that was approved for 2017 focused on	16:59:10
	Pag	ge 281

# Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2477-10 Filed 01/14/18 Page 6 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Google with respect to ?	16:59:15
2	A. Yes.	16:59:15
3	Q. Tell me what you know about that.	16:59:21
4	A. The this budget funded our	16:59:24
5	public observation activities in the Phoenix	16:59:27
6	area as we previously discussed.	16:59:30
7	Q. And is that the only activity with	16:59:32
8	respect to Google that was which came under	16:59:35
9	this budget?	16:59:42
10	A. Yes.	16:59:42
11	Q. Was there any other budget in the	16:59:45
12	SSG Group that related to activities focused on	16:59:47
13	Google for 2017?	16:59:49
14	A. No.	16:59:51
15	Q. What about for 2016?	16:59:51
16	A. No.	16:59:51
17	Q. Was all of the budget for 2000	17:00:09
18	first of all, how much budget was there for	17:00:10
19	2016 with respect to autonomous vehicles in	17:00:13
20	your group?	17:00:15
21	A. We didn't have a budget. We	17:00:16
22	weren't funded by ATG in 2016.	17:00:18
23	Q. Okay.	17:00:20
24	You didn't have a budget at all?	17:00:23
25	A. I had a I had a budget, but I	17:00:24
	Pag	ge 282

CERTIFICATE
CERTIFICATE
I, PAUL J. FREDERICKSON, CA
Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 13164 and
WA Certified Court Reporter No. 2419, do
hereby certify:
That prior to being examined,
the witness named in the foregoing
deposition was by me duly sworn or affirmed
to testify to the truth, the whole truth and
nothing but the truth;
That said deposition was taken
down by me in shorthand at the time and
place therein named, and thereafter reduced
to print by means of computer-aided
transcription; and the same is a true,
correct and complete transcript of said
proceedings.
I further certify that I am not
interested in the outcome of the action.
Witness my hand this 22nd day
of December 2017.
Laul Frederickson
PAUL J. FREDERICKSON, CCR, CSR
WA CCR 2419 CA CSR 13164
Expiration date: March 31, 2018